bundled "Record of Judicial Misclassification" Report

This report is submitted as part of a federal Rule 60(b) motion to reopen case 2:25-cv-02145-TLB. It documents a pattern of intentional misclassification and judicial obstruction, where all Article III filings, equity writs, and ecclesiastical declarations submitted by the Sovereign Executor of ECC-TRUST-JDC-005 were procedurally downgraded and buried as “exhibits” instead of recognized as stand-alone judicial pleadings invoking equity jurisdiction.

I. TIMELINE OF PROCEDURAL OBSTRUCTION

- 11/10/2025 – Complaint filed with Exhibits 1–7. All attachments improperly treated as supporting evidence rather than stand-alone pleadings.
- 11/19/2025 – Motion for Emergency Declaration filed with Exhibits 1–14, including international standing, consular notices, and ecclesiastical equity claims — misfiled as exhibits.
- 11/24/2025 – Objection (Doc. 7) filed with 173 pages and 3 exhibits, including the Formal Article III Writ and Contingency Declaration — again misclassified as exhibits.
- 12/01/2025 – Judge Brooks issues Order (Doc. 8), claims de novo review, but fails to mention or analyze any legal filings, trust standing, or equity law invoked.

II. VIOLATED PROCEDURAL RULES AND JUDICIAL DUTY

• Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5(d): Requires pleadings to be properly filed and noted in the docket.
• Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 10: Requires captioning and recognition of parties and claims.
• 28 U.S.C. § 1651: Mandates that writs invoking federal equity jurisdiction be accepted and reviewed.
• Canon 2 of the Code of Conduct for U.S. Judges: Requires impartial and competent judicial duty.
• Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments: Guarantee due process and access to judicial review.

III. CONCLUSION

This report confirms a sustained pattern of procedural abuse. By labeling lawful writs and jurisdictional filings as “exhibits,” the court evaded its duty to review equity claims and trust enforcement. This misclassification obstructed access to justice, created a fraudulent record, and enabled dismissal without ruling on any substantive point of law.

This document, along with PACER screenshots and docket evidence, shall be submitted to the 8th Circuit Court of Appeals, the International Criminal Court, and relevant oversight authorities for permanent review and prosecutorial referral.

Silence was default. Misclassification was fraud. This record stands.